

S. E. STONEHOUSE,
SOLICITOR.

Rolls Chambers,

89, Chancery Lane,

London, W.C. 4 June 1864
(and at Staines)

Dear Willie,

I delayed answering
your letter, as I expected
to hear from Harcourt
with the Exorship accounts.
I have now received them
& enclose a copy.

From a legal point
of view I think the Trustees
are clearly liable for
the further £2000 lent to
John, (the previous £4000
not so clear) and are an

of interest, but of course it
is a question whether for
the sake of the money it
is worth having a family
row. In any event I
do not think the matter
should be allowed to go
off just like this without
calling attention to the fact
that we consider them
legally liable for more
than they propose to pay
as ~~of~~ at present they

send in their account without any explanation as if things were all right & couldn't have been managed better.

I have written to Arthur with the original Release & account & have sent similar letters to this to Tom & Charlie.

If you can manage to get to D'On on Saturday I would come down & we could talk matters over including the question a "an out".

Your aff^l Brother

A. H. King